

05-01-00

A

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Keshaba Chandra Sahoo  
 Docket: 30566.90US01  
 Title: INTELLIGENT OBJECT VERSIONING

jc530 U.S. PTO  
 09/560434  
 04/27/00

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 CFR 1.10

'Express Mail' mailing label number: EL540749833US

Date of Deposit: April 27, 2000

I hereby certify that this paper or fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service 'Express Mail Post Office To Addressee' service under 37 CFR 1.10 and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

By: Isabell Ogata  
 Name: Isabell Ogata

## BOX PATENT APPLICATION

Assistant Commissioner for Patents  
 Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

We are transmitting herewith the attached:

- ☒ Transmittal sheet, in duplicate, containing Certificate Of Mailing Under 37 CFR 1.10.
- ☒ Utility Patent Application: Spec. 13 pgs; 12 claims; Abstract 1 pg.  
The fee has been calculated as shown below in the "Claims as Filed" table.
- ☒ 5 sheets of Drawings.
- ☒ An unsigned Combined Declaration and Power of Attorney.
- ☒ Our Check No. 2829 in the amount of \$690.00 to cover the Filing Fee.
- ☒ Return postcard

## CLAIMS AS FILED

Number of Claims Filed	In Excess of:	Number Extra	Rate	Fee
<b>Basic Filing Fee</b>				\$690.00
<b>Total Claims</b>				
12	20	0	x \$18.00	= \$0.00
<b>Independent Claims</b>				
3	3	0	x \$78.00	= \$0.00
MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM FEE				\$0.00
<b>TOTAL FILING FEE</b>				<b>\$690.00</b>

Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-0494. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed.

CUSTOMER NUMBER 22462

**GATES & COOPER**  
 Howard Hughes Center  
 6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050  
 Los Angeles, CA 90045  
 (310) 641-8797

By: Jason S. Feldmar

Name: Jason S. Feldmar  
 Reg. No.: 39,187  
 Initials: JSF/io

*Patent Application*

*for*

INTELLIGENT OBJECT VERSIONING

*Inventor(s):*

KESHABA CHANDRA SAHOO

*Prepared By:*

Jason S. Feldmar  
Gates & Cooper  
Howard Hughes Center  
Suite 1050  
6701 Center Drive West  
Los Angeles, California 90045

### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is related to the following co-pending and commonly-assigned patent application, which application is incorporated by reference herein:

- United States Patent Application Serial No. 08/880,184, entitled
- 5 "INTELLIGENT PROXY OBJECTS", by Keshaba Chandra Sahoo, et. al., Attorney  
Docket No. 30566.24USU1, filed on June 20, 1997.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention.

10 The present invention relates generally to object-oriented computer systems, and in particular, to providing intelligent objects for varying document versions in an object-oriented computer system.

2. Description of the Related Art.

15 In some object-oriented computer systems, an application program or product (e.g., a word processing program or a spreadsheet program) is used to create a file (e.g., a document or spreadsheet). A file from one application can also include one or more objects from another application (e.g., a word processing file may contain a spreadsheet object created using a spreadsheet program). Further, in computer graphics and

20 computer aided design (CAD) programs, drawing elements such as lines, shapes, circles, arcs, etc. may be represented by objects. To associate an object with a specific file, data for the object is streamed out with the file when it is stored.

As application programs are enhanced and updated, newer versions of the application program may be released. Accordingly, the files created by the applications may be tied to or related to a particular application version. Objects and their attributes may evolve over application program versions. Additionally, since the  
5 objects are stored with particular versions of a file, the objects are tied to the version of the file. By tying an object to particular version of a file, the evolution of the object is hindered across multiple versions of an application program/product.

For example, if a user wants to save a file in a prior application version (e.g., for use by other users that do not have the most recent version of the application), and the  
10 object was created/introduced subsequent to the prior file version, the user has to choose between not evolving the object or not saving the object to the previous file versions.

In another example, an object such as a circle may first be introduced in one version (version A) of an application program and an attribute such as the ability to fill  
15 the circle may be introduced in a later version (version B). By tying the object to the file version, the user is forced to choose between not evolving the object (and utilizing the fill attribute) or not saving the object to the previous file version (version A). The fast pace at which products are revised today makes either of these choices unacceptable.

20 There is a need in the art to enable a user or developer to evolve an object across versions of product releases and still be able to save files to one of the previous product versions.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

To overcome the limitations in the prior art described above, and to overcome other limitations that will become apparent upon reading and understanding the present specification, the present invention discloses a method, apparatus, and article of manufacture for a computer implemented object-oriented computer system with intelligent object versioning.

In accordance with the present invention, an object may be evolved across versions of product releases while maintaining the ability to save files to one of the previous product versions. Thus, objects are interoperable across multiple versions. Further, objects may be saved to a file version prior to when the object was introduced.

When an object is requested to stream out data, the object determines which version to stream out itself and all of its parent/superior classes. If the file version is equal to or higher than the object's introduction version, the object and its superiors are streamed out in the file version. However, if the file version is lower than the object's introduction version, the object is represented by a proxy when the object is opened in the file and the data for the object is streamed out in the birth/introduction version of the object.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

Referring now to the drawings in which like reference numbers represent corresponding parts throughout:

FIG. 1 is a block diagram illustrating an exemplary hardware environment used  
5 to implement one or more embodiments of the invention;

FIG. 2 illustrates a hierarchical system of classes in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention;

FIG. 3 is a flow chart for streaming out data in accordance with one or more  
embodiments of the invention;

10 FIGS. 4A, 4B, and 4C illustrate various relevant time lines for object and document versions in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention; and

FIG. 5 is a flow chart illustrating the details of determining a streaming version in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENTS

In the following description, reference is made to the accompanying drawings which form a part hereof, and which is shown, by way of illustration, several embodiments of the present invention. It is understood that other embodiments may be utilized and structural changes may be made without departing from the scope of the present invention.

### Overview

One or more embodiments of the invention provide the ability to evolve an object across versions of a product releases and still be able to save a file to one of the previous product versions thereby making objects interoperable across multiple versions. Such embodiments enable saving a file (and object data) to a product version prior to when the object was introduced.

### Hardware Environment

FIG. 1 is an exemplary hardware and software environment used to implement one or more embodiments of the invention. One or more embodiments of the invention are typically implemented using a computer 100, which generally includes, inter alia, a monitor 102, data storage devices 104, cursor control devices 106, and other devices. Those skilled in the art will recognize that any combination of the above components, or any number of different components, peripherals, and other devices, may be used with the computer 100.

One or more embodiments of the invention are implemented by a computer-implemented application program 108. Generally, the application program 108 comprises logic and/or data embodied in or readable from a device, media, carrier, or signal, e.g., one or more fixed and/or removable data storage devices 104 connected  
5 directly or indirectly to the computer 100, one or more remote devices coupled to the computer 100 via a data communications device, etc.

Those skilled in the art will recognize that the exemplary environment illustrated in FIG. 1 is not intended to limit the present invention. Indeed, those skilled in the art will recognize that other alternative environments may be used  
10 without departing from the scope of the present invention.

### Objects and Classes

As described above, objects may be utilized in application programs 108. Objects may be described and defined in a variety of object-oriented programming  
15 languages. In most such languages, objects are defined by one or more classes. A class sets forth methods/behavior and attributes for an object (or part of an object). Subclasses may define further methods and attributes for the object. When an object is utilized by an application program, the methods and behaviors from all of the classes which define the object are utilized to create an instance of the object (which is referred  
20 to as instantiation). Thereafter, the data that represents the object instance (including the actual methods and attributes) may be streamed out to a file.

In a hierarchical system of classes, an object's data is the sum of its class-specific



data and that of all its superior classes. FIG. 2 illustrates a hierarchical system of classes. Class A 200 is the root class or parent class of all other classes 202-212. The children of Class A 200 are Class A1 202 and Class A2 204 and are often referred to as subclasses of Class A 200. The remaining classes 206-212 are further descendants and may also be subclasses of Class A 200 or their immediate parent. All classes contain the data from their parent/superior class plus additional data. Thus, class A1 202 contains data from Class A 200 plus additional data. Similarly, Class A1(A) 206 contains the data from Class A1 202 and Class A 200 plus additional data. In order to completely represent an instance of an object, when the object instance is streamed out to a file, the data of its parent/superior classes may also be streamed out to the file.

This hierarchy of classes applies in all types of application programs 108. For example, in a spreadsheet application, if Class A 200 is a spreadsheet object, Class A1 202 is the spreadsheet object of (or contains the data from) Class A 200 plus additional features. Thus, the data of a particular object (or an instance of the object) includes data for the methods and attributes of its parent/superior objects.

### Object Versions and Embodiment Details

Objects may be changed over time such that different versions contain different methods or attributes. For example, in a computer graphics application, a circle object may be introduced in version A, the ability to fill the circle may be provided in version B, and the ability to view the circle in 3D may be provided in version C. Further, an attribute for an object may indicate the version of the object (e.g., 1, 1.1, 2, etc.).

When an object is streamed out to a file, the most derived class of the object has the authority to determine which version it wants to be streamed out as. Accordingly, the most derived class of the object instructs all of its parent/superior classes of the selected version when inquired. The superior classes query for such information when they are requested to stream out data on behalf of the object in question.

FIG. 3 is a flow chart for streaming out data in accordance with one or more embodiments of the invention. At step 300, a request to store an object is issued. Such a request may be issued when a file or document, which serves as persistent storage for the object, is saved (e.g., to data storage device 104). Alternatively, application program 108 may request that the object be stored for other reasons (e.g., for caching the object, for storing changes to the object, etc.). At step 302, the object determines which version to stream out in (if the object is a parent/superior object, the parent/superior object queries the child object for the version). At step 304, the data of the object is streamed out in the version selected.

At step 306, an object determines if there are any more parent/superior objects that need to be streamed out. If not, all data has been streamed out and the process is complete at step 308. If parent objects need to be streamed out, the object requests the parent object(s) to stream out their data at step 310. The process continues with each parent object at step 302. However, as indicated above, since a parent/superior object is being streamed out, at step 302 the parent object/superior class queries the requesting/child object for version information (i.e., the version to stream out in).

The child/requesting object determines which version to stream out the data in

(for itself and all parent/superior objects) at step 302. To help understand determination step 302, FIGS. 4A, 4B, and 4C illustrate the various relevant time lines.

In FIG. 4A, both the object version and the version when the object was introduced are lower/earlier (or equal to) the document/file version. In FIG. 4B, the current

5 object version is higher/later than the current document/file version and the version of the object's introduction is lower/earlier than the current document/file version. In FIG. 4C, the current version of the object and the version of the object's introduction is higher/later than the file/document version.

In view of FIGS. 4A-4C, FIG. 5 is a flow chart illustrating the details of the  
10 determination step 302. At step 500, the object determines if the requested file version is lower than the version in which the object was introduced. In other words, is the version of the file that contains the object lower than the version in which the object was introduced? Phrased another way, was the object introduced in a file version earlier or later than the current version?

15 If the requested file version is equal to or higher than the version in which the object was introduced (i.e., FIG. 4A and FIG. 4B), the class of the object and its superiors stream out in the requested file version itself at step 506. In other words, if the object was introduced in a version earlier than or equal to the current version of the file, the object and its superiors are streamed out in the current version of the file.  
20 Thus the object is saved with the file in the same format.

However, if the requested file version is lower than the version in which the object was introduced (i.e., FIG. 4C), the class of the object and its superiors stream

out in the "birth" version of the object in steps 502 and 504 (see details below). In other words, if the object was introduced after the version of the current file (e.g., the file in which the object is being saved), the object is streamed out in the "birth" version (the version of the object when it was first introduced). Thus, earlier file versions

5 contain the earliest possible version of an object if necessary.

Since the version of the object's introduction is higher than the file version in FIG. 4C, problems may be encountered by streaming out the object in its introduction version. For example, the prior application program version may not have the capabilities to read, display, or utilize the object (when the prior application program version is lower than the object introduction version). Consequently, the object is represented as a proxy object when the file is opened in the old version at step 502. The proxy object holds onto the object's data and faithfully streams the data in an out of persistent storage at step 504. The proxy object may provide a limited set of functionality for the object. For example, in a computer graphics application, the proxy object may merely display a bitmap of a circle object. Proxy objects are more fully described in United States Patent Application Serial No. 08/880,184, entitled "INTELLIGENT PROXY OBJECTS", by Keshaba Chandra Sahoo, et. al., Attorney Docket No. 30566.24USU1, filed on June 20, 1997 which is hereby fully incorporated by reference.

20 By storing the object in its introduction or "birth" version, if the file is opened in an application program in between the stored version and the version where the document was created, the object may have functionality beyond merely displaying a

bitmap. For example, suppose a circle object is introduced in version 3 of a graphics application program, with the ability to fill the circle object added in version 5 of the graphics application program. Further, suppose, a user of Application program 5 is saving a drawing containing the circle object in the format of version 1 (e.g., using a "Save As" function) of the graphics application program. In accordance with embodiments of the invention, the circle object is stored in version 3 (the "birth" version of the object) and a proxy object is utilized when the drawing is opened in version 1. However, if another user opens the same file using version 3 of the graphics application program, some of the functionality of the object is still available because of the version in which it is stored (e.g., the ability to utilize a circle object).

Thus, if the file is saved to a version equal to or higher than the object's birth/introduction version (FIG. 4A and FIG. 4B), the object is alive and operates correctly when the file is opened in that version of the product (step 506). However, if the object is saved to a file version prior to the object's birth/introduction version (FIG. 4C), the object is represented by a proxy object when the file is opened in the old version of the product (steps 502-504).

### Conclusion

This concludes the description of the preferred embodiment of the invention. The following describes some alternative embodiments for accomplishing the present invention. For example, any type of computer, such as a mainframe, minicomputer, or personal computer, or computer configuration, such as a timesharing mainframe,

local area network, or standalone personal computer, could be used with the present invention. In summary, embodiments of the invention provide the user with the ability to utilize any version of an object regardless of the document/file version.

The foregoing description of the preferred embodiment of the invention has  
5 been presented for the purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise form disclosed. Many modifications and variations are possible in light of the above teaching. It is intended that the scope of the invention be limited not by this detailed description, but rather by the claims appended hereto.

CLAIMS

I claim:

1. A method for storing object data of a requested file in an object-oriented computer system, comprising:

5 obtaining a request to store an object;

determining if a requested file version is lower than an object introduction version of the object;

streaming out a class of the object in the requested file version if the requested file version is equal to or higher than the object introduction version; and

10 streaming out the class of the object in the object introduction version if the requested file version is lower than the object introduction version.

2. The method of claim 1 wherein the requested file version is lower than the object introduction version, the method further comprising representing the object  
15 as a proxy object when a file is opened, and wherein the streaming out in the object introduction version comprises:

the proxy object holding onto the object's data; and

the proxy object streaming out the object's data.

20 3. The method of claim 1 further comprising:

one or more superior objects of the object querying the object to determine a version to stream out;

the object responding to stream out in the requested file version if the requested file version is equal to or higher than the object introduction version;

the object responding to stream out in the object introduction version if the requested file version is lower than the object introduction version; and

5 the one or more superior objects of the object streaming out in accordance with the object response.

4. The method of claim 1 wherein obtaining a request to store an object is initialized by saving a file containing the object.

10

5. An apparatus for storing object data of a requested file in an object-oriented computer system comprising:

an object-oriented computer system having a memory and a data storage device coupled thereto;

15

one or more computer programs, performed by the computer, for obtaining a request to store an object, for determining if a requested file version is lower than an object introduction version of the object, for streaming out a class of the object in the requested file version if the requested file version is equal to or higher than the object introduction version, and for streaming out the class of the object in the object

20

introduction version if the requested file version is lower than the object introduction version.



6. The apparatus of claim 5 wherein the requested file version is lower than the object introduction version, further comprising one or more computer programs, performed by the computer, for representing the object as a proxy object when a file is opened, and wherein the streaming out in the object introduction version comprises:

- 5           the proxy object holding onto the object's data; and  
          the proxy object streaming out the object's data.

7. The apparatus of claim 5 further comprising:

- means for one or more superior objects of the object to query the object to  
10   determine a version to stream out;

          means for the object responding to the one or more superior objects to stream out in the requested file version if the requested file version is equal to or higher than the object introduction version;

- means for the object responding to the one or more superior objects to stream  
15   out in the object introduction version if the requested file version is lower than the object introduction version; and

          means for the one or more superior objects of the object streaming out in accordance with the object response.

- 20       8. The apparatus of claim 5 wherein the one or more computer programs for obtaining a request to store an object comprises means for initialized the request by saving a file containing the object.

9. An article of manufacture comprising a program storage medium readable by a computer and embodying one or more instructions executable by the computer to perform a method for storing object data of a requested file in an object-oriented computer system, the method comprising:

5 obtaining a request to store an object;  
determining if a requested file version is lower than an object introduction version of the object;  
streaming out a class of the object in the requested file version if the requested  
10 file version is equal to or higher than the object introduction version; and  
streaming out the class of the object in the object introduction version if the requested file version is lower than the object introduction version.

10. The article of manufacture of claim 9 wherein the requested file version  
15 is lower than the object introduction version, the method further comprising representing the object as a proxy object when a file is opened, and wherein the streaming out in the object introduction version comprises:

the proxy object holding onto the object's data; and  
the proxy object streaming out the object's data.

20

11. The article of manufacture of claim 9 wherein the method further comprises:

one or more superior objects of the object querying the object to determine a version to stream out;

the object responding to stream out in the requested file version if the requested file version is equal to or higher than the object introduction version;

5 the object responding to stream out in the object introduction version if the requested file version is lower than the object introduction version; and

the one or more superior objects of the object streaming out in accordance with the object response.

10 12. The article of manufacture of claim 9 wherein obtaining a request to store an object is initialized by saving a file containing the object.

### ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

To overcome the limitations in the prior art described above, and to overcome other limitations that will become apparent upon reading and understanding the present specification, the present invention discloses a method, apparatus, and article of manufacture for a computer implemented object-oriented computer system with intelligent object versioning. In accordance with the present invention, an object may be evolved across versions of product releases while maintaining the ability to save a file to one of the previous versions. Thus, objects are interoperable across multiple versions. Further object may be saved to a file version prior to when the object was introduced. When an object is requested to stream out data, the object determines which version to stream out itself and all of its parent/superior classes. If the file version is equal to or higher than the object's introduction version, the object and its superiors are streamed out in the file version. However, if the file version is lower than the object's introduction version, the object is represented by a proxy when the object is opened in the file and the data for the object is streamed out in the birth/introduction version of the object.

EL54674983345

"Express Mail" mailing label required  
 Date of Deposit: APRIL 27 1998  
 I hereby certify that this paper or tape is being deposited with the United States Patent Service, Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.502b, the date indicated above and is submitted to:  
 Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20590.  
 ISABELL OGATA  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Isabella Ogata  
 \_\_\_\_\_




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4A




FIG. 4B




FIG. 4C






FIG. 5

GATES & COOPER  
United States Patent Application  
COMBINED DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor I hereby declare that: my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name; that

I verily believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or a joint inventor (if plural inventors are named below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

INTELLIGENT OBJECT VERSIONING

The specification of which:

- a. ☒ is attached hereto.
- b. ☐ was filed on \_\_\_\_\_ as United States Application Number or PCT International Application Number \_\_\_\_\_ and was amended on \_\_\_\_\_ (if applicable), which I have reviewed and for which I solicit a United States patent.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the patentability of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 (attached hereto).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate or 365(a) of any PCT international application which designated at least one country other than the United States of America, listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate or any PCT application having a filing date before that of the application on the basis of which priority is claimed:

- a. ☒ no such applications have been filed.
- b. ☐ such applications have been filed as follows:

FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, CLAIMING PRIORITY UNDER 35 USC § 119			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)
OTHER FOREIGN APPLICATION(S), IF ANY, FILED BEFORE THE PRIORITY APPLICATION(S)			
COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	DATE OF ISSUE (day, month, year)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s), or 365(c) of any PCT international application(s) designating the United States of America, listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States or PCT international application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose

material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

U.S. PARENT APPLICATION OR PCT PARENT NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	STATUS (patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code § 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) listed below:

U.S. PROVISIONAL APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE OF FILING (Day, Month, Year)

I hereby appoint the following attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith:

George H. Gates	Registration No. 33,500
Victor G. Cooper	Registration No. 39,641
Anthony J. Orlor	Registration No. 41,232
Karen S. Canady	Registration No. 39,927
William J. Wood	Registration No. 42,236
Jason S. Feldmar	Registration No. 39,187

I hereby authorize them to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct Gates & Cooper to the contrary.

Please direct all correspondence in this case to the firm of Gates & Cooper at the address indicated below:

GATES & COOPER  
Howard Hughes Center  
6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050  
Los Angeles, CA 90045

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

(1)	<b>Full Name Of Inventor</b>	<b>Family Name</b> Sahoo	<b>First Given Name</b> Keshaba	<b>Second Given Name</b> Chandra
	<b>Residence &amp; Citizenship</b>	<b>City</b> San Rafael	<b>State or Foreign Country</b> California	<b>Country of Citizenship</b> India
	<b>Post Office Address</b>	<b>Post Office Address</b> 57 Fremont Road	<b>City</b> San Rafael	<b>State &amp; Zip Code/Country</b> California 94901/USA
<b>Signature of Inventor(1):</b>				<b>Date:</b>

§ 1.56 Duty to disclose information material to patentability.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:

- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and

- (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
  - (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
  - (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

(c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:

- (1) each inventor named in the application;
- (2) each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.

(d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.